IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

TUESDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY**

**and**
**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU**

**WRIT APPEAL NO : 1547 of 2004**

(Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the Order dated 23/08/2004 in WP NO : 14926 OF 2004 on the file of the High Court.)

Between:

1 Mannava Sambasiva Rao, S/o. Nagaiah,
R/o. 3rd Line, Brundavan Gradens, Guntur, Guntur District.
2 Shaik Shalma, W/o. Bikari, R/o. Gujjanagundla, Guntur.

**..... APPELLANTS**

AND

1 The District Collector, Guntur, Guntur District.
2 The Superintendent of Police, Guntur, Guntur District.

**.....RESPONDENTS**

**Counsel for the Appellants: MR.B.V.SUBBAIAH**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR REVENUE**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : GP FOR HOME**

**The Court made the following :**

**J U D G M E N T: (Per Hon'ble Sri Justice B.Sudershan Reddy)**

We find no merit in this Writ Appeal. Learned Single Judge did not commit any error whatsoever in dismissing the writ petition filed by the appellants herein, which, in our considered opinion, is based on mere apprehension. The Court at this stage cannot presume that the respondents will assign the land claimed by the appellants without following any procedure whatsoever. The apprehension that he is likely to be dispossessed summarily is also not well founded. Learned Single Judge in fact, kept all the remedies of the appellant open.

For the aforesaid reasons, we find no merit in this Writ Appeal and the same shall accordingly stand dismissed without any order as to costs.

_____

B.SUDERSHAN REDDY, J.

Dated: 12.10.2004

_____

K.C.BHANU, J.

**GS**/PV

To

1. The District Collector, Guntur, Guntur District.
2. The Superintendent of Police, Guntur, Guntur District.

3. The GP for Revenue, High Court of A.P. Hyderabad (OUT)

4**.** The G.P. for Home, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad (OUT)

5. 2 CD copies